

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.teplo.gov

03/23/2010

ELECTRONIC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,387	10/17/2006	Akira Mizuno	2006_1640A	9383	
	7590 03/23/201 I. LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, 150 20000 1500			1797		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/594,387		MIZUNO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ELIZABETH L. MCKANE	1797	

	ELIZABETH L. MCKANE	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT	TE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	e issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11			TOL 004)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (i	FIOL-324).
Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. \(\subseteq \text{ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: \(\frac{1-f_1}{2f_1} \)		ll be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Elizabeth L McKane/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1797	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claim 1 appear to introduce problems with clarity. For example, in line 10 a step of exhausting the gas is set forth. However, in the following lines, a step of generating a plasma in the hydrogen peroxide and zoone atmosphere is set forth. This is confusing, as if the gas is exhausted from the chamber, how is there an 'atmosphere' of hydrogen peroxide and ozone?

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Final Rejection is maintained. Although Applicant argues that a combination of Takahashi with Lin et al. would necessarily result in application of a plasma between the step of adding hydrogen peroxide and the step of adding ozone, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Lin et al. clearly teaches that the step of plasma generation must necessarily occur at the end of the sterilization process as it breaks down all residual hydrogen peroxide. This logic would apply to the method of Takahashi as well, in that one would not destroy the sterilization process for Takahashi by applying the plasma generate the sterilization process is complete.